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Introduction to the case

What?
• An HTA on Early Identification and Timely Treatment for Pain Patients

When?
• 2014

Who?
• DEFACTUM, for Danish Region who is the interest organisation for the five regions in Denmark
**Introduction - health problem**

**Definition:** Chronic pain (CP) is defined as pain that has persisted beyond the normal tissue healing time (>3 months).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Musculoskeletal</th>
<th>Neuropathic</th>
<th>Headaches</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Back pain</td>
<td>-Herpes Zoster/post-herpetic neuralgia</td>
<td>-Migraine</td>
<td>-Irritable bowel syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arthritis</td>
<td>-Phantom limb pain</td>
<td>-Tension</td>
<td>-Haemophilia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporomandibular pain</td>
<td>-Diabetic neuropathy</td>
<td>-Cluster</td>
<td>-Sickle cell anemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibromyalgia</td>
<td>-Carpal tunnel syndrome</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myofacial pain</td>
<td>-Complex regional pain syndrome</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Burden of disease:**
- Increased health care costs
- Increased disability and mortality
- Increased premature retirement from work, and days off work.

*20% of the population complain of CP.*

*5-10% of the population have CP requiring treatment.*
Introduction - current management of (chronic) pain

Characteristics:
- Performed in all health specialities and across all sectors.
- Mostly managed by the local GP.
- Few patients are referred to treatment at specialised multidisciplinary pain clinics.

Limitations:
- Diagnostic processes dependent on the individual GP
- Prolonged diagnostic procedures
- Long waiting times for specialised treatment
- Lack of national clinical guidelines.

Consequences:
- Some pain patients receive unnecessary treatment while others don’t get the treatment they need at the right time in the process.
Introduction - solution to current management limitations?

Hypothesis
Early identification and timely treatment of pain patients can improve outcome and reduce cost in patients at high risk of developing a chronic pain condition.

"The right treatment for the right patient at the right time"
Aim of the HTA

To elucidate how the management and organisation of health services can be developed to ensure early identification of and timely treatment for patients with pain.
Challenges

**Patient (study population):**
- Not limited to one diagnosis.

**Intervention (Tecnology):**
- The ‘technology’ is a clinical pathway.

**Comparison:**
- Managed in all health specialities and across sectors.
- Organisation and treatment vary across the five regions in Denmark.

Aarhus cathedral
How can this specific health problem be addressed in an HTA perspective?
How can the policy question be translated into research questions and what methods should be used?

**Policy question:**
*Can early identification and timely treatment of pain patients improve outcome and reduce cost in patients at high risk of developing a chronic pain condition compared with usual practice?*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Comparator</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adults (&gt; 18 years) with a subacute non-malignant pain condition</td>
<td>Early identification and timely treatment of high risk patients</td>
<td>Usual practice</td>
<td>Disability, Sick-leave, Cost</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Domains (selected):**
- Clinical Effectiveness
- Costs and economic evaluation
- Organisational aspects
## Research questions - examples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>Research question</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clinical Effectiveness</td>
<td>Can early identification of and timely treatment for adults with subacute non-malignant pain reduce disability and time of work for patients at high risk of developing a chronic pain condition compared with usual practice?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Organisational aspects        | How is the current treatment for patients with a pain condition organised?  
                               | Which models can be identified nationally and internationally for future organisation of early intervention for patients with pain? |
| Costs and economic evaluation | What are the expected economic consequences for society of implementation of a strategy to manage patients with subacute pain in Denmark?         |
Which methods were used for answering the research questions?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>Methods</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clinical Effectiveness</td>
<td>Systematic review with meta-analysis using the GRADE approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organisation al aspects</td>
<td>Systematic review. Survey of key health professional actors involved in efforts to pain patients. Use of theoretical organisational models to guide the analysis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costs and economic evaluation</td>
<td>Systematic review of health economic studies</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What kind of human resources are required for conducting this HTA?
What kind of human resources were involved in conducting this HTA?

Skills:
• Clinical epidemiology, evidence-based medicine, clinical trials, meta-analysis, organisational research, health economic evaluations, policy making, and others.

Tasks:
• Literature searches, assessing quality of evidence (GRADE), synthesising evidence (qualitative and quantitative (meta-analysis) analysis), primary data collection, organisational analysis, writing the report and dissemination of the results.

Professional background:
• Health services researchers specialised in organisation analysis, biostatistician, health economist, information specialist, GP, pain researchers, physiotherapist and representative from patient organisation.
Who were the relevant stakeholders and how were they involved in the process?

- Pain centers
- Patient organisation
- Professional associations
- National and regional authorities
Main conclusions and perspectives

Prognostic factors:
- Multiple site pain
- High pain severity
- Older age
- Baseline disability
- Longer pain duration

Stratified treatment based on risk
How can the results from this HTA be applied?

• **Policy**
  - Priority-setting: to what extend should the capacity at the specialised pain centres be enhanced to meet the demand for timely treatment of patients with high risk of developing long term disability and sick-leave

• **Clinical practice**
  - How can patient pathways be improved e.g.?:
    - increased knowledge of risk factors that should lead to referral to specialised treatment among GPs
    - development of national multidisciplinary guidelines

• **Research**
  - More research into risk factors for development of chronic pain needed
  - More research into effects of organisational strategies needed
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